

United States General Accounting Office Washington, D.C. 20548

150812

National Security and International Affairs Division

B-252726

February 18, 1994

The Honorable John Breaux United States Senate

Dear Senator Breaux:

This responds to your December 7, 1993, request for data used in compiling our report Minority Contracting: DOD's Reporting Does Not Address Legislative Goals (GAO/NSIAD-93-167, July 27, 1993). Specifically, we are providing data that supports the fact that DOD obligated a large portion of small disadvantaged business (SDB) contract dollars to a small number of companies. As discussed with your staff, we are also providing data on price premiums paid by the Defense Fuel Supply Center (DFSC) and the names of companies receiving most of those premiums.

As shown in our earlier report, each year about 1 percent of the SDBs receiving DOD contracts receive about 25 percent of the funds that DOD obligates to SDBs. Enclosure I lists these SDBs for fiscal years 1990 through 1992. For each year, we identify the companies that received the most obligations, their rank (with the company receiving the most obligations ranked one), and the total obligations for the 3 years. Although 30 plus contractors are identified for each year, the enclosure identifies only 61 contractors in total because 28 appear in the listings for at least 2 fiscal years. Fifteen contractors appear in the listings for all 3 fiscal years.

Price premiums are associated with contracts awarded under 10 U.S.C. 2323 preferential contracting procedures. Under DOD's implementing procedures, contracting officers, in evaluating competitively offered prices, are required to make SDBs' offers more competitive by increasing other offers by 10 percent. An SDB is awarded the contract if its price is lower than the increased offers of others. The premium is the difference between the price paid and the price that would have been paid if the low offer had

received the contract. Table I shows that for fiscal years 1990 through 1992, DOD reported price premiums (higher prices) of about \$32.5 million, with most of the dollars resulting from DFSC awards.

Table 1: Price Premiums to SDBs Dollars in millions

Fiscal year	DOD premium amount	DFSC premium amount	DFSC premium as percent of total
1990	\$13.7	\$11.6	85
1991	9.4	8.0	85
1992	9.4	9.1	97
Total	\$32.5	\$28.7	88

Enclosure II shows the companies that received the largest premiums for fiscal years 1990 through 1992 from DFSC. DFSC reported that 13 companies each received premiums of at least \$100,000, with 7 of the companies each receiving premiums of over \$1 million. The premiums reported by DFSC are based on estimated requirements when a contract is awarded and might differ from premiums paid, which are based on orders and deliveries under the contract.

We compiled the above data from DOD's contract award data (DD Form 350 file). This data is used by DOD in preparing its report to Congress on SDB utilization.

Please contact me at (202) 512-4587 if you or your staff have any questions concerning this report.

Sincerely yours,

David E. Cooper

Director, Acquisition Policy, Technology,

and Competitiveness Issues

Enclosures - 2

## Small Disadvantaged Business Concerns Receiving Most Contract Obligations in Fiscal Years 1990, 1991, and 1992

Dollars in millions					
		y fiscal	<del></del>	Total	
Company name	1990	1991	19924	dollars	
R J O Enterprises Inc.	1_	10	9	\$164.0	
Phoenix Petroleum Company	2			13.1	
Barrett Refining Corporation	3	1	3_	277.4	
CTA Incorporated	4	4	7	173.2	
Colsa Inc.	5	5	4	153.8	
Infotec Development Inc.	6	2	1	241.3	
Pacifica Services Inc.	7	34	31	73.4	
Balimoy Mfg. Co. of Venice	8			56.6	
Technology Applications Inc	9			61.0	
Las Energy Corp.	10			35.2	
Leal Petroleum Corp.	11			28.3	
Daedalean Inc.	12			38.1	
Economics Technology Assoc.	13	17	34	66.8	
Network Solutions Inc.	14			42.3	
Allied Petro. Inc.	15	9		59.5	
Turtle Mountain Mfg. Company	16	14	15	82.3	
Modern Technologies Corp.	17	8	10	93.3	
Frontier Engineering Inc.	18	16	17	72.7	
Hilton Systems Inc.	19	25		56.8	
Nations Inc.	20			44.7	
Comprehensive Technologies Int.	21	11	28	69.8	
National Systems & Research Co.	22	13	22	70.2	
Integrated Systems Analysts	23		·	35.5	
l Net Inc.	24	3	5	136.9	
Naughton Energy Corp.	25		•	33.9	
Aleman Food Service Inc.	26		· · · · ·	37.0	
Terry Manufacturing Co.	27			35.0	
Research Management Corp	28	26		42.5	
Integrated Microcomputer Sys	29	19		53.4	
Automation Res. Systems Ltd.	30	30	33	53.7	
G Marine Diesel Corp.	31			18.3	
Aepco Inc	32	35	23	55.7	
Universal Energy Systems Inc.	33			36.8	
Sellers Oil Company		6		38.6	
Pride Products Inc.		7		32.0	
Interstate Landscaping Co. Inc.		12		54.2	
			lc.	ontinued)	

(continued)

Enciosure I Small Disadvantaged Business Concerns Receiving Most Contract Obligations in Fiscal Years 1990, 1991, and 1992

Dollars in millions				
	Rank by fiscal year			Total
Company name	1990	1991	1992*	dollars
Texcom Inc.		15		44.4
Reyes Industries		18		39.7
Technical & Mgt. Services Corp.		20	24	54.1
Metters Industries Inc.		21	11	69.5
Crestmont Cleaning Svcs & Supp.		22		28.2
Navcom Systems Inc.	<u> </u>	23	19	49.7
Childers Construction Co.		24	18	48.8
Systems Engineering & Mgt. Co.		- 27	14	65.8
Beneco Enterprises Inc.		28	16	57.4
Potomac Systems Engineering	<u> </u>	29		43.1
Engineering & Economics Res.		31	12	62.7
Burgos, Fred Construction Co.		32		30.6
Piquniq Management Corp.		33	21	55.6
Mil Ray Food Co.		36		27.5
Sharp, George G. Inc.		37	29	41.3
Peerless Petrochemichais Inc.			2	71.0
Gold Line Refining Ltd.			6	61.5
Age Marketing Co.			8	50.7
Red River Carriers			13	33.1
Industrial Data Link Corp.			20	39.5
Century Technologies Inc			25	46.2
Big Bear Oil Co.			26	24.9
Shadrock Petroleum Products			27	18.5
Systems Resources Corp.			30	33.5
Sumaria Systems Inc.			32	28.3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Because of a DD 350 correcting entry noted subsequent to our earlier *report*, the 34 companies listed in fiscal year 1992 received about 24 percent, not 25 percent, of the year's obligations to SDBs.

## Price Premium Obligated by Defense Fuel Supply Center Fiscal Years 1990, 1991, and 1992

Dollars in thousands	
Company Name	Tota
ompany name	
Fiscal Year 1990	
Barrett Refining Corp.	\$3,648
Phoenix Petroleum Co.ª	2,993
Leal Petroleum Corp.	1,460
Allied Petroleum Inc.	1,372
Crysen Corp.	1,000
Naughton Energy Corp.	639
Big Bear Oil Co. Inc.	156
Sellers Oil Co. Inc.	135
Eight other companies	217
DFSC total	\$11,620
Fiscal Year 1991	
Barrett Refining Corp.	\$5,808
Sellers Oil Co.	2,220
Allied Petroleum Inc.	1,981
issca-Rusha Co. Inc.	136
Yancey Minerals Inc.	132
Crysen Corporation	117
Six other companies	202
Phoenix Petroleum Co.ª	-2,587
DFSC total	\$8,009
Fiscal Year 1992	
Peerless Petrochemicals Inc	\$3,770
Gold Line Refining Ltd.	3,270
Barrett Refining Corp.	1,470
Naughton Energy Corp.	270
Allied Petroleum Inc.	192
	1 74
Petro Star	
Petro Star Five other companies	129

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>This company defaulted on contracts, which were subsequently terminated by DFSC. The obligation of the premium is shown in 1990 and the deobligation in 1991.